

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/659,832	09/11/2003	Dennis R. Esterberg	10006017-4	5946	
7590 04/21/2005			EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			PEYTON, TA	PEYTON, TAMMARA R	
	pperty Administration		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 2724	00		AKTONII	FAFER NOMBER	
Fort Collins, C	Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400				
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/21/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/659,832	ESTERBERG ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tammara R Peyton	2182				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period fo	or Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 De	ecember 2004.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-6 and 8-33</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>2,3 and 7</u> is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
cos and accorded declared embed declarifier a list of the definited copies hot received.							
Attachmen	t(s)		•				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	ratent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					
S. Patent and Ti	ademark Office						

PT

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, 5, 6, 8-11, and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Yanagisawa et al.*, (US 5,805,412).

- 1. As per claims 1 and 14, Yanagisawa teaches a system, comprising:
 - a mobile computing device (notebook computer, 100, Fig. 1) having one or more components (Fig.13) each configured to perform a function in response to an input from an associated external device (devices connected to the notebook computer's associated port(s));
 - an input/output module (First docking unit, 200, Fig. 1, 4, 5 or combined First/Second docking unit, 300, Fig. 7) configured for installation within a housing inset of a housing of the mobile computing device, the input/output module configured to interlock within the housing (Figs. 4, 11, and 12) inset to facilitate portability of the mobile computing device with the input/output module installed, the input/output module including one or

more input/output connectors (222, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, Fig.5 of First docking unit, 200) configured to interface one or more associated external devices (devices connected to the notebook computer's input/output connector port(s)) with the one or more components (notebook computer port signal lines, 25a, 25b, 25,c, 26a, 26b, 27a, 27b, Fig.13) in the mobile computing device; wherein the input/output module (First docking unit, 200, Fig. 1, 4, 5, 13) is configured to pass one or more unmodified signals between the one or more components and the one or more associated external devices.

2. Yanagisawa does not expressly disclose wherein the input/output module (First docking unit, 200, Fig. 1, 4, 5, 13) is configured to pass one or more unmodified signals between the one or more components (Fig.13) and the one or more associated external devices. Nonetheless, the input/output connectors (shown in Fig. 13, 231-260e, 232-260g, 233-260h, 234-260h, 235-260i, 236-260j) each have dedicated signal lines represented as 260e-260j. Raw port signal(s) are generated from the input/output connectors (260e-260j) and transmitted to a signal line bundle, 260. The input/output module transmits the raw port signal (s) through signal line bundle, 260 to the notebook docking connector, 130. The raw port signal (s) are then branched to corresponding port signal lines (25a, 25b, 25,c, 26a, 26b, 27a, 27b, Fig.13). Referring to Fig. 13, Examiner is taking the position that the raw port signals generated from input/output connectors (shown in Fig. 13, 231-260e, 232-260g, 233-260h, 234-260h, 235-260i, 236-260j) are

Application/Control Number: 10/659,832 Page 4

Art Unit: 2182

simply passed through the input/output module to the notebook connector, 130 unmodified or not altered by the input/output module in any way. (Abstract, col. 5,lines 8-56, col. 8, lines 14-30, col. 13, lines 19-col. 14, lines 1-31)

- 3. As per claim 4, *Yanagisawa* teaches a system wherein:
 - the input/output module (First docking unit, 200, Fig. 1, 4, 5/Second docking unit, Fig. 2) has multiple input/output connectors each configured to interface an external device with the computing device, wherein the input/output modules is configured to pass at least one input from an external device unmodified to a component in the mobile computing device; and
 - the input/output module has signal processing component to
 process an input from an external device, wherein the input/output
 module is configured to pass at least one processed input from the
 input/output module to the computing device.
- 4. Yanagisawa teaches a combined input/output module comprising of First docking unit, 200 and Second docking unit, 300. In one embodiment, the input/output module is comprises the First docking unit. In another embodiment, the input/output module comprises the First docking unit coupled to the Second docking unit. Signals received from the input/output connectors related to the First docking unit are passed to the notebook computer unmodified. However,

Art Unit: 2182

signals received from the input/output connectors related to the Second docking unit, specifically the signal from connector 324, are first processed and then passed to the notebook computer. A SCSI controller 364, performs protocol conversion on the received signal before passing the signal to the notebook computer. (col. 5, lines 47-col. 6, lines 1-26, 57-col. 7, lines 1-3, col. 15, lines 24-26)

Page 5

- 5. As per claims 5 and 6, *Yanagisawa* teaches wherein the input/module comprising a parallel input/output connector and a serial input/output connector. Claims 5 and 6 are presented in alternative language requiring one "or" the other be found not necessarily all. Therefore, Examiner is taking the position that *Yanagisawa* teaches a input/output module comprising at least one or more of the listed connectors.
- 6. As per claims 8 and 9, *Yanagisawa* does not expressly teach of reserving system resources, nonetheless, a mobile computer device configured to reserve system resources if a input/output connector does not have an associated external device connected is well known in the art, therefore, making use of the system obvious.
- 7. As per claim 10, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill that Yanagisawa's input/output module is configured to inform the mobile computer device of whether devices are connected to the input/output connector as it

relates to the input/output connector configuration on the input/output module. (Fig.13)

- 8. As per claim 11, *Yanagisawa* teaches wherein the input/output module (First/Second docking unit, 300, Fig. 7) comprises a memory storage device (380, col. 14, lines 54-58) to store the related input/output connectors' configurations and informing the mobile computing device of the configurations.
- 9. As per claim 13, Yanagisawa teaches wherein the input/output module does not expressly teach of de-allocating system resources, nonetheless, a computer device configured to reserve system resources if a input/output connector does not have an associated external device connected is well known in the art, therefore, making use of the system obvious.
- 10. As per claim 15, *Yanagisawa* teaches wherein the module housing is configured to be removably attached to the computer.
- 11. As per claim 16, Yanagisawa another embodiment wherein the input/output module comprising of the First docking unit coupled to the Second docking unit. Signals received from the input/output connectors related to the First docking unit are passed to the notebook computer unmodified. However, signals received from the input/output connectors related to the Second docking unit, specifically the signal from connector 324, are first processed and then

Art Unit: 2182

Page 7

passed to the notebook computer. A SCSI controller 364, performs protocol conversion on the received signal before passing the signal to the notebook computer. (col. 5, lines 47-col. 6, lines 1-26, 57-col. 7, lines 1-3, col. 15, lines 24-26)

- 12. As per clam 17, *Yanagisawa* teaches wherein the input/module comprising a parallel input/output connector and a serial input/output connector.
- 13. As per claim 18, Yanagisawa teaches wherein the input/module comprising a parallel input/output connector and a serial input/output connector. Claim 18 is presented in alternative language requiring one "or" the other be found not necessarily all. Therefore, Examiner is taking the position that Yanagisawa teaches an input/output module comprising at least one or more of the listed connectors.
- 14. As per claim 19, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill that Yanagisawa's input/output module is configured to inform the computer device of whether devices are connected to the input/output connector as it relates to the input/output connector configuration on the input/output module. (Fig.13)
- 15. As per claim 20, *Yanagisawa* teaches wherein the input/output module (First/Second docking unit, 300, Fig. 7) comprises a memory storage device

(380, col. 14, lines 54-58) to store the related input/output connectors' configurations and informing the computing device of the configurations.

Claims 1, 12, 14, and 21-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kambayashi et al.*, (US 6,724,615).

- 16. As per claims 1 and 14, *Kambayashi* teaches a system, comprising:
 - a mobile computing device (notebook computer, 1, Fig. 2b) having one or more components (Fig.a3) each configured to perform a function in response to an input from an associated external device (devices connected to the notebook computer's associated port(s));
 - an input/output module (connector docking unit, 53, Fig. 2b, 3a-3c) configured for installation within a housing inset of a housing of the mobile computing device, the input/output module configured to interlock within the housing inset to facilitate portability of the mobile computing device with the input/output module installed, the input/output module including one or more input/output connectors (Fig. 3a) configured to interface one or more associated external devices (devices connected to the notebook computer's input/output connector port(s)) with the one or more components (notebook computer port signal lines, Fig.3b) in the mobile computing device; wherein the input/output module (connector docking unit, 53) is configured to pass one or more unmodified signals between

the <u>one</u> or more components and the one or more associated external devices.

- 17. *Kambayashi* does not expressly disclose wherein the input/output module is configured to pass one or more unmodified signals between the one or more components and the one or more associated external devices. Nonetheless, *Kambayashi's* input/output connectors are configured to pass one unmodified signals between one or more components via a dedicated signal line connector. Examiner is taking the position that port signals generated from input/output connectors (Fig. 3a) are simply passed through the input/output module to the dedicated signal line connector to the notebook connector 51 unmodified or not altered by the input/output module in any way. (Abstract, col. 1, lines 38- col. 2, lines 1-38, and cols. 3-6)
- 18. As per claims 12, 21, 22, 23, and 30-33, *Kambayashi* teaches a method, comprising:
 - providing an input/output module configured for installation within a
 housing of a computer that contains multiple components each of which
 interface with different external devices, the input/output module including
 a module connector having a pin configuration (55, Fig. 2b) that can be
 decoded by the mobile computer to determine an input/output connector
 configuration on the input/output module;

Art Unit: 2182

providing multiple different input/output connectors supported by the input/output module, at least some of the input/output connectors being configured to establish a connection between an external device and an associated component in the mobile computer (notebook computer) and pass signals in an unmodified form between the external device and its associated component. (notebook computer port signal lines, Abstract, col. 1, lines 38- col. 2, lines 1-38, and cols. 3-6)

Page 10

- 19. As per claims 24 and 25, *Kambayashi* teaches wherein the input/module comprising a parallel input/output connector and a serial input/output connector. Claims 24 and 25 are presented in alternative language requiring one "or" the other be found not necessarily all. Therefore, Examiner is taking the position that *Kambayashi* teaches an input/output module comprising at least one or more of the listed connectors.
- 20. As per claim 27, Kambayashi a method further comprising:

of receiving a second input from a second external device (connected to one of the connectors) with a second input/output connector on the interchangeable input/output module;

processing the second input with a signal processing component in the interchangeable input/output module to form a processed input; and

passing the processed input from the signal processing component in the input/output module to a component in the mobile computing device.

Art Unit: 2182

21. As per claim 28, Kambayashi teaches further comprising communicating

Page 11

an input/output connector configuration on the interchangeable input/output

module to the mobile computing device.

22. As per claim 29, Kambayashi does not expressly teach of reserving

system resources, nonetheless, a mobile computer device configured to reserve

system resources if a input/output connector does not have an associated

external device connected is well known in the art, therefore, making use of the

system obvious.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 3, and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected

base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all

of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Applicant's Arguments

Applicant's Attorney argues that Yanagisawa does not teach "an

input/output module configured for installation within a housing of the mobile

computing device" (pg. 13, lines 10-11) Examiner respectfully disagrees with

Applicant's Attorney. Examiner believes that the claim language "installation"

within a housing of the mobile computing device" and "within a housing inset of a housing of the mobile computing device" translates to *Yanagisawa's* input/output module (docking station) being connected/attached/installed into the housing mobile computing device (notebook computer). The housing of the notebook computer includes a rear port that allows the input/output module to be installed within the rear port housing of notebook computer. Examiner is taking the position that the rear port of the notebook computer is part of the overall 'housing inset' of the notebook computer, and the input/output module configured for 'installation' to the notebook computer's overall housing.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tammara Peyton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4157. The examiner can normally be reached between 6:30 - 4:00 from Monday to Thursday, (I am off every first Friday), and 6:30-3:00 every second Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Gaffin, can be reached on (571) 272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3718. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Mailed responses to this action should be sent to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231.

Faxes for Official/formal (After Final) communications or for informal or draft communications (please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT") sent to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

USTPO, 2011 South Clark Place, Customer Window

Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby Room 1B03, Arlington, VA, 22202Crystal Park II,

2121.

Tammara Peyton

April 15, 2005